



Our Reference:
Your Reference:

24 August 2018

Dr Colin Adrian
Chair, ACT Remuneration Tribunal

Dear Dr Adrian,

**SUBMISSION SPRING REVIEW 2018 - RENUMERATION OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS**

I would like to make a formal submission in my role as the General Manager, ACT Director of Public Prosecutions.

It is very pleasing to see this review being conducted along side other judicial members in this current sitting.

I would like to re-affirm to the Tribunal the need to ensure that the position of the ACT Director of Public Prosecutions remains competitive.

In previous reviews it has been highlighted and agreed by the tribunal that the position of the ACT Director of Public Prosecution be remunerated in line with the Supreme Court Judges.

By way of brief background I refer to the review undertaken in 2013, having completed a review and taking a number of submissions, the Tribunal released [Determination 13 of 2013 – Director of Public Prosecutions](#) which states:

"The Tribunal considered information provided by Mr White and by the Justice and Community Safety Directorate, and noted that the selection criteria for the ACT DPP position was the same as the criteria for ACT judicial appointments. Following consideration, the Tribunal decided that remuneration for the position of DPP will be reviewed as part of the annual review for judicial members."

ACT DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS

Determination 10 of 2014 – Director of Public Prosecutions identifies:

"that the previous increase provided the DPP with approximately 98% remuneration of that provided to a Supreme Court Judge".

Further determinations since then have placed the remuneration at close to that mark. My submission is simply to request that consideration be given to ensuring that this alignment is maintained.

If any further information is needed, please let me know.

Yours faithfully,



Ms Emma Flukes
General Manager